

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

W.P.NO. 3466 OF 2006

DATED: 10.3.2006

Between:

T. Sudhakar Reddy and others

... Petitioners

and

The Circle Inspector of Police,

Alwal, Ranga Reddy District and another

... Respondents

HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

W.P.NO.3466 OF 2006

ORAL ORDER:

-

-

The petitioners allege that the 1st respondent is frequently calling them to the police station and interfering with what are purely civil disputes. They allege that they were summoned to the police station on various dates from 27.1.2006 till 12.2.2006 and that the respondents-police are pressurizing them to settle the disputes. They claim to be absolute owners of some urban property and allege interference with their ownership and possession by the police, contrary to law.

The 1st respondent has filed a counter affidavit stating that one P. Ramesh, son of P. Narsimha, Resident of Rajaka Seva Sahakara Sangam, H.No.1-4-102, Old Alwal, had lodged a complaint on 26.1.2006 with the answering respondents-police station and against the petitioners alleging that on the intervening night of 25/26-1-2006 at 2.00 hrs, the petitioners came with bulldozers and demolished the grave yards and leveled the land in Sy.No.51/A, the land used by the complainant's ancestors for burial of dead bodies of Rajakas and Gouds and that appropriate action was solicited.

The counter affidavit proceeds to state that on receipt of complaint, a case in Cr.No.35 of 2006 under Section 297 IPC was registered on the same day i.e. 26.1.2006 and investigation taken up. It is alleged that the petitioners coming to know that a case was registered have filed this writ petition to avoid the logical consequences of investigatorial process and that the writ petition is a product of bad faith of the petitioners.

As a crime has been registered against the petitioners, the logical course of investigation must follow and as a part of that, as the complaint is against the petitioners they could legitimately be summoned and questioned. No case is made out for interference.

The writ petition is dismissed. No order as to costs.

GODA RAGHURAM, J

Date: 10.3.2006

cvm